

# Caps of order $3q^2$ in affine 4-space in characteristic 2

Yves Edel

Mathematisches Institut der Universität  
Im Neuenheimer Feld 288  
69120 Heidelberg (Germany)

Jürgen Bierbrauer

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Michigan Technological University  
Houghton, Michigan 49931 (USA)

## Abstract

We prove that a class of  $q$ -ary dual BCH-codes in characteristic 2 produce caps in  $AG(4, q)$ . This is the first family of caps of order  $3q^2$  in  $PG(4, q)$ . It is proved that our caps are complete in  $PG(4, q)$ . We determine the weight distribution of the codes generated by the caps, via a close link to the binary Kloosterman codes, the dual Mélas codes.

## 1 Introduction

A **cap** in projective geometry  $PG(n, q)$  is a set of points no three of which are collinear.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $q = 2^f$ , where  $f$  is odd, and  $F = \mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ . Identify the points in  $AG(4, q)$  with the points  $(1, x)$ ,  $x \in F$ . The points  $(1, x)$  where  $x = 0$  or  $x$  is a  $3(q^2 + 1)$ -th root of unity form a  $(3q^2 + 4)$ -cap  $\mathcal{K}_q \subset AG(4, q)$ .*

The construction of large caps in  $PG(4, q)$  or  $AG(4, q)$  appears to be a difficult problem. The best known asymptotic result is a family of caps of order  $2.5q^2$  in  $PG(4, q)$  in odd characteristic (see [3, 10]). Here we speak of order  $cq^2$  if the number of points is a polynomial in  $q$  with  $cq^2$  as leading term. In characteristic 2 it had hitherto not been possible to construct families of caps in  $PG(4, q)$  of order more than  $2q^2$ . This asymptotic value is trivial to reach (the union of two ovoids in hyperplanes is a  $(2q^2 + 2)$ -cap in  $PG(4, q)$ ). A survey of the problem is in [2], and [7] is a more general survey concerning related questions.

Theorem 1 shows that caps of order  $3q^2$  can be constructed in characteristic 2, more precisely in  $AG(4, q)$ , where  $q = 2^f$ ,  $f$  odd.

Moreover the cap  $\mathcal{K}_q$  constructed in Theorem 1 essentially is a cyclic code. Clearly  $\mathcal{K}_q$  admits the cyclic group of order  $3(q^2 + 1)$  as a group of automorphisms, with  $(1, 0)$  as fixed point and with regular action on the remaining points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$ . In the language of the theory of cyclic codes we can describe  $\mathcal{K}_q \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$  as the dual of the  $q$ -ary BCH-code of length  $3(q^2 + 1)$  and defining set  $A = \{0, 1\}$  of exponents (see [1, 8]). The claim that  $\mathcal{K}_q \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$  is a cap is equivalent to the statement that the BCH-code has minimum distance 4. This will be proved in Section 2. In Section 3 we prove that  $\mathcal{K}_q$  is complete in  $PG(4, q)$ . The maximum hyperplane section, equivalently the minimum distance of the code  $C_q$  generated by  $\mathcal{K}_q$ , will be determined in Section 4.

**Theorem 2.** *The cap  $\mathcal{K}_q$  is complete in  $PG(4, q)$ . All intersection sizes of  $\mathcal{K}_q$  with hyperplanes of  $PG(4, q)$  are multiples of 4. Let  $\iota_q$  be the maximum hyperplane intersection size of  $\mathcal{K}_q$ . Then  $\iota_2 = 8$ ,  $\iota_8 = 32$ ,  $\iota_{32} = 120$  and, for  $q > 32$ ,  $\iota_q = 3(q + 1 + t)$ , where  $t$  is the largest integer smaller than  $2\sqrt{q}$ , which is congruent to 3 mod 4.*

Let  $C_q$  be a  $[3q^2 + 4, 5]_q$ -code whose generator matrix has as columns representatives of the points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$  (the extended dual BCH-code). We exhibit a close link between the weight distribution of  $C_q$  and the weight distribution of the  $2f$ -dimensional binary Kloosterman code, the dual Mélas code. As the weight distribution of the Kloosterman codes is known by [9] we determine the weight distribution of  $C_q$ .

The theory of sequences with low crosscorrelation motivated the study of binary cyclic codes with minimum distance 5, see [5] for the link to coding theory and [6] for a survey. Theorem 1 shows that interesting caps may be constructed as duals of BCH-codes. This raises the question to determine

which cyclic codes have minimum distance  $\geq 4$ . Another family of cyclic caps was recently constructed in [4].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let us fix notation. We have  $q = 2^f$ ,  $f$  odd,  $F = \mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Denote by  $Tr_{a,b}$  the trace  $\mathbb{F}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_b$  and by  $N : F \rightarrow K$  the norm. Let  $W \subset F$  be the group of  $(q^2 + 1)$ -st roots of unity ( $w \in W \iff N(w) = 1$ ). Denote the points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$  as  $P(0) = (1, 0)$  and  $P(a, w) = (1, aw)$ , where  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_4$  and  $w \in W$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The numbers 3,  $q - 1$  and  $q^2 + 1$  are pairwise coprime.*

The trivial Lemma 1 implies that the points  $P(0)$  and  $P(a, w)$  are pairwise different. Moreover, if  $(a_1, w_1) \neq (a_2, w_2)$  ( $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{F}_4, w_i \in W$ ), then  $\frac{a_1 w_1}{a_2 w_2} \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ . It follows that  $P(0)$  is not collinear with two of the remaining points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$ . It suffices to show that the  $P(a, w)$  form a cap.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in K$ . The following are equivalent:*

- *There exists  $w \in W$  such that  $Tr_{q^4, q^2}(w) = \alpha$*
- *$Tr_{q^2, 4}(1/\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_4 \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ .*
- *$Tr_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that the second and third condition are equivalent, and  $Tr_{q^4, q^2}(w) = w + 1/w = \alpha$  is equivalent with  $(w/\alpha)^2 + (w/\alpha) + 1/\alpha^2 = 0$ . Clearly,  $w = 1$  is not a solution. Let  $Tr_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 0$ . Then the solutions of  $x^2 + x + 1/\alpha^2 = 0$  are in  $K$ . It follows  $w \in K$ , contradiction. This shows that there is no solution in this case. As there are  $q^2/2$  elements  $\alpha \in K$  such that  $Tr_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 1$  and each contributes at most two solutions  $1 \neq w \in W$ , we must have equality.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.**  *$Tr_{q^2, 2}(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0$ . We have  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_q^\perp$  with respect to the bilinear form defined by  $Tr_{q^2, 2}$  on  $K$ .*

Lemma 3 is obvious as  $\lambda^q = \lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

Let  $P(a_1, w_1)$ ,  $P(a_2, w_2)$ ,  $P(a_3, w_3)$  be different points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$ , which are collinear. Let the coefficients of an affine linear combination be  $1, \lambda, \lambda + 1$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ . This yields the equation

$$(\lambda + 1)a_1w_1 = a_2w_2 + \lambda a_3w_3.$$

Assume at first  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Using the automorphism group we can assume  $a_2 = a_3 = 1$  and  $w_3 = 1$ . The equation is

$$(\lambda + 1)a_1w_1 = w_2 + \lambda.$$

As the second and third point are different, it follows  $w_2 \neq 1$ . Application of the norm ( $N(x) = x^{q^2+1}$ ) to both sides yields  $(\lambda^2 + 1)a_1^2 = \lambda^2 + 1 + \lambda\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = \text{Tr}_{q^4, q^2}(w_2)$ . We have  $\alpha \neq 0$  as  $w_2 \neq 1$ . It follows  $a_1 \neq 1$ . The equation

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}(a_1^2 + 1) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} + \frac{1}{(\lambda + 1)^2}$$

shows  $(a_1^2 + 1)\text{Tr}_{q^2, 4}(1/\alpha) = \text{Tr}_{q^2, 4}(\frac{1}{\lambda+1} + \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^2}) = \text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(\frac{1}{\lambda+1}) = 0$  (see Lemma 3). It follows  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 4}(1/\alpha) = 0$ , contradicting Lemma 2.

Assume now the  $a_i$  are pairwise different (and nonzero) elements of  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . We can choose notation such that

$$(\lambda + 1)w_1 = \omega w_2 + \lambda \bar{\omega}.$$

Here  $\omega$  and  $\bar{\omega} = \omega^2$  are the primitive elements of  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Application of  $N$  yields  $\lambda^2 + 1 = \bar{\omega} + \lambda^2\omega + \lambda\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = \text{Tr}_{q^4, q^2}(w_2)$ . We have  $\alpha \neq 0$  as otherwise  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_4$ , which is impossible as  $\mathbb{F}_q \cap \mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2$ . An equivalent form of the equation is  $\lambda^2\bar{\omega} + \lambda\alpha + \omega = 0$ . Multiplication by  $\bar{\omega}/\alpha^2$  yields  $x^2 + x + 1/\alpha^2 = 0$ , where  $x = \lambda\bar{\omega}/\alpha$ . This yields  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 0$ , contradicting Lemma 2.

### 3 Completeness

In this section we prove that  $\mathcal{K}_q \subset \text{PG}(4, q)$  is a complete cap.

**Proposition 1.** *Each point in the hyperplane avoided by  $\mathcal{K}_q$  is collinear with two points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Consider point  $(0, x)$ . We want to find  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_4$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  such that  $(0, x) = \lambda(1, aw_1) + \lambda(1, aw_2)$ , equivalently  $x = \lambda a(w_1 + w_2)$ . Applying the norm we obtain  $N(x) = \lambda^2 a^2 \text{Tr}_{q^4, q^2}(w)$ , where  $w = w_1/w_2 \neq 1$ . Assume this equation is satisfied. Then  $x$  and  $\lambda a(w_1 + w_2)$  have the same norm. As the elements of  $W$  are precisely those of norm 1, we can find  $w' \in W$  such that  $x = \lambda a(w_1 w' + w_2 w')$  and are done. We have seen that it suffices to find  $\lambda, a, w$  such that  $N(x) = \lambda^2 a^2 \text{Tr}_{q^4, q^2}(w)$ . We have  $\text{Tr}_{q^4, q^2}(w) \neq 0$  as  $w \neq 1$ . Let  $\alpha = N(x)/(\lambda^2 a^2)$ . By Lemma 2 we need to find  $\alpha, a$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 1$ , where

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{\lambda^2 a^2}{N(x)}.$$

If  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 4}(\lambda^2/N(x)) \neq 0$  we can choose  $a \in \mathbb{F}_4$  appropriately and are done. Assume  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 4}(\lambda^2/N(x)) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(\lambda^2/N(x)) = 0$ , and  $1/N(x)$  is in the dual of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  in the trace form defined by  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}$  on  $K$ . By Lemma 3 this dual is precisely  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . It follows  $N(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Choose  $\lambda^2 = N(x)$ . Then  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 4}(\lambda^2/N(x)) = \text{Tr}_{q^2, 4}(1) \neq 0$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Each point  $(1, x) \notin \mathcal{K}_q$ , where  $y = N(x) = x^{q^2+1}$  either is in  $\mathbb{F}_4$  or is not a  $(q+1)$ -st root of unity, is collinear with two points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Consider points  $(1, x)$ . Projection from  $P(0)$  shows that we are done if the order of  $x$  divides  $3(q-1)(q^2+1)$ . From now on we assume the order of  $y$  does not divide  $3(q-1)$ . In particular  $y \notin \mathbb{F}_q, y \notin \mathbb{F}_4$  and  $y^{q^2+1} \neq 1$ .

We want to find  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q, a_i \in \mathbb{F}_4^*, w_i \in W$  such that

$$(1, x) = \lambda(1, a_1 w_1) + \mu(1, a_2 w_2),$$

equivalently  $x = \lambda a_1 w_1 + (\lambda + 1) a_2 w_2$ . Applying the norm we obtain the equivalent condition

$$y = \lambda^2 a_1^2 + (\lambda^2 + 1) a_2^2 + \lambda(\lambda + 1) a_1 a_2 \text{Tr}_{q^4, q^2}(w) \quad (1)$$

for some  $w \in W$ . Let  $\text{Tr}_{q^4, q^2}(w) = \alpha$ . Choose  $a_1 = a_2 = a$ . We have  $\alpha \neq 0$  as otherwise  $y \in \mathbb{F}_4$ . By Lemma 2 we need to find constants such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 1$ , where

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{(\lambda^2 + \lambda) a^2}{y + a^2}.$$

Assume  $Tr_{q^2,2}(1/\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Repeated application yields

$$Tr_{q^2,2}(\lambda a^2/(y+a^2)) = Tr_{q^2,2}(\lambda^2 a^2/(y+a^2)) = \cdots = Tr_{q^2,2}(\lambda^{2^{f-1}} a^2/(y+a^2)).$$

As we have an odd number of terms it follows

$$Tr_{q^2,2}(\lambda a^2/(y+a^2)) = Tr_{q^2,2}(l a^2/(y+a^2)) = l \cdot Tr_{q^2,2}(a^2/(y+a^2)) \quad (2)$$

where  $l = Tr_{q,2}(\lambda)$ .

Assume  $Tr_{q^2,2}(a^2/(y+a^2)) = 0$ . By equation 2,  $Tr_{q^2,2}(\lambda a^2/(y+a^2)) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Because of Lemma 3 this implies  $a^2/(y+a^2) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . We obtain  $y/a^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . It follows that the order of  $x$  divides  $3(q-1)(q^2+1)$ . This case has been taken care of already.

We can assume  $Tr_{q^2,2}(a^2/(y+a^2)) = 1$ . Equation 2 says

$$Tr_{q^2,2}(\lambda a^2/(y+a^2)) = Tr_{q,2}(\lambda).$$

Factoring  $Tr_{q^2,2}$  over  $Tr_{q,2}$  we obtain

$$Tr_{q,2}(\lambda(\frac{a^2}{y+a^2} + \frac{a}{y^q+a} + 1)) = 0$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The second factor under the trace must vanish. This simplifies to  $y^{q+1} = 1$ .  $\square$

From now on we assume  $y^{q+1} = 1$ ,  $y \notin \mathbb{F}_4$  and we need to choose  $a_1 \neq a_2$ . The choice  $a_1 = \omega, a_2 = \bar{\omega}$  in equation 1 yields

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda}{y + \lambda^2 + \omega}$$

In case  $a_1 = \bar{\omega}, a_2 = \omega$  an equivalent expression results, obtained by the substitution  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda + 1$ . Cases  $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{1, \omega\}$  and  $\{a_1, a_2\} = \{1, \bar{\omega}\}$  lead to similar expressions, where in the denominator  $y$  is replaced by  $\omega y$  or  $\bar{\omega} y$ . The choice  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda = 1$  leads to  $y \in \mathbb{F}_4$ , a case we have excluded. We can assume  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_2$ . This implies that the expressions above make sense as  $y \neq \lambda^2 + \omega$ . In fact, assume  $y = \lambda^2 + \omega$ . Then  $1 = y^{q+1} = (\lambda^2 + \omega)(\lambda^2 + \bar{\omega})$ , hence  $\lambda^2(\lambda^2 + 1) = 0$ . We sum up:

**Lemma 4.** *The cap  $\mathcal{K}_q \subset PG(4, q)$  is complete if and only if for every  $y \in K$  such that  $y^{q+1} = 1$ ,  $y \notin \mathbb{F}_4$  we can find  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_4$  such that*

$$Tr_{q^2,2}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda}{ay + \omega + \lambda^2}\right) = 1.$$

Lemma 4 is a motivation to study the rational function  $\rho(X) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q} \frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda}{X + \lambda^2}$

in the variable  $X$ . The common denominator is  $\prod_{\lambda} (X + \lambda) = X^q + X$ . The numerator

$$\sum_{\lambda} (\lambda^2 + \lambda) \prod_{\mu \neq \lambda^2} (X + \mu)$$

is a polynomial of degree  $\leq q - 1$ , which maps  $\lambda^2 \mapsto (\lambda^2 + \lambda)$ , for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The polynomial  $X^{q/2} + X$  affords the same mapping. Because of the unicity of the interpolating polynomial our numerator is  $X^{q/2} + X$ . We have seen

$$\rho(X) = \frac{X^{q/2} + X}{X^q + X}.$$

In view of Lemma 4 and replacing  $y$  by  $y^2$  (in order to avoid square roots in the formulas) the following is obtained:

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\rho(X) = (X^{q/2} + X)/(X^q + X)$ . In order to prove the completeness of  $\mathcal{K}_q$  it is sufficient to show that for every  $(q + 1)$ -st root of unity  $y \in K \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$  we have*

$$\text{Tr}_{q^2, q} \left( \sum_{0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_4} \rho(ay^2 + \omega) \right) = 1.$$

*Proof.* In fact, in the contrary case we would have in particular  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(\rho(ay^2 + \omega)) = 0$  for all  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_4$  and therefore also  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(\sum_{0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_4} \rho(ay^2 + \omega)) = 0$ , which is incompatible with the expression in the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

We have

$$\rho(ay^2 + \omega) = \frac{ay^2 + ay^q}{1 + ay^2 + a^2y^{2q}} = \frac{ay^2 + a/y}{1 + ay^2 + a^2/y^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{q^2, q}(\rho(ay^2 + \omega)) &= \frac{ay^2 + a/y + a^2/y^2 + a^2y}{1 + ay^2 + a^2/y^2} = \\ &= 1 + \frac{1 + a/y + a^2y}{1 + ay^2 + a^2/y^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + a^2y + a/y}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that these expressions make sense as the denominator does not vanish. If it vanished,  $ay^2$  would satisfy a quadratic equation with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , resulting in the contradiction  $y \in \mathbb{F}_4$ .

We have seen

$$\text{Tr}_{q^2, q}(\rho(ay^2 + \omega)) = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \text{Tr}_{q^2, q}(a^2y)}.$$

Summing up over all  $a$  we obtain  $1 + \sum_a \frac{1}{1 + \text{Tr}_{q^2, q}(a^2y)}$ . It suffices to show that the last sum vanishes. Writing it with the obvious common denominator the numerator is

$$(1+y+\frac{1}{y})(1+\omega y+\bar{\omega}/y) + (1+y+\frac{1}{y})(1+\bar{\omega}y+\omega/y) + (1+\omega y+\bar{\omega}/y)(1+\bar{\omega}y+\omega/y)$$

which simplifies to 0. This completes the proof of completeness, by Lemma 5.

## 4 Hyperplane sections and codes

In this section we determine  $\iota_q$ . Moreover we show how to determine the weight distribution of  $C_q$ .

Write the points of  $AG(4, q)$  as  $(1, x)$ ,  $x \in F$ . Let  $\tau = \text{Tr}_{q^4, q} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  be the trace. The hyperplanes of  $PG(4, q)$  aside of the hyperplane described by the first coordinate are coordinatized by pairs  $(u, c)$ , where  $0 \neq u \in F, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Point  $(1, x)$  belongs to hyperplane  $H = H_{u, c}$  if and only if  $\tau(ux) = c$ . In particular  $P(0) \in H$  if and only if  $c = 0$ , and  $P(a, w) \in H$  if and only if  $\tau(uaw) = c$ . Observe that  $H_{u, c} = H_{\lambda u, \lambda c}$  for every  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

### A family of 2-weight codes

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3 below.

**Lemma 6.** *Each  $0 \neq z \in K$  can be written in the form  $z = \lambda z_2$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q, z_2^{q+1} = 1$ , in a unique way, and  $z^{q-1} = 1/z_2^2$ .*

*Let a  $(q+1)$ -st root of unity  $z_2$  be given. If  $z_2 \neq 1$ , there are  $q/2$  elements  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(\lambda z_2) = 1$ . If  $z_2 = 1$  there is no such  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* The first statements follow from the fact that the multiplicative group of  $K$  is the direct product of the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and of the cyclic subgroup of order  $q+1$ . Let  $z_2 \neq 1$ . Assume  $\text{Tr}_{q^2, 2}(\lambda z_2) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . By Lemma 3,  $z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^\perp = \mathbb{F}_q$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$



**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A$  be a set of  $(q + 1)$ -st roots of unity in  $K$ ,  $|A| = d$ . Let  $D(A)$  be the 4-dimensional  $q$ -ary code of length  $d(q^2 + 1)$  defined by its generator matrix whose columns are the points  $Q(a, w)$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $w^{q^2+1} = 1$ , where  $Q(a, w)$  is the point in  $PG(3, q)$  generated by  $aw \in F$ . Then  $D(A)$  is a 2-weight code with weights  $dq^2 - (d-1)q$  and  $d(q^2 - q)$ . There are  $d(q-1)(q^2+1)$  words of weight  $dq^2 - (d-1)q$  and  $(q+1-d)(q-1)(q^2+1)$  words of weight  $d(q^2 - q)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider intersections with hyperplane  $H' = H'_{\langle u \rangle}$  of  $PG(3, q)$ , where  $Q(a, w) \in H'$  if and only if  $\tau(uaw) = 0$ . The number of points  $Q(a, w) \in H'$  remains unchanged if we multiply  $u$  by an element of  $W$ . It can therefore be assumed that  $u \in K$ . Factorize the trace:

$$\tau(uaw) = Tr_{q^2, q}(ua\alpha) = ua\alpha + u^q a^q \alpha^q = 0,$$

where  $\alpha = Tr_{q^4, q^2}(w)$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $w = 1$  and  $0 \neq a \in A$  arbitrary. This gives us  $d$  points on  $H'$ . Let now  $\alpha \neq 0$ . We have to count solutions of the equation

$$(1/\alpha)^{q-1} = a^{q-1}v = v/a^2,$$

where  $v = u^{q-1}$  and  $Tr_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 1$  (see Lemma 2). Observe  $v^{q+1} = a^{q+1} = 1$ . We distinguish two cases. Assume at first  $v = a_0^2$  for  $a_0 \in A$ . The choice  $a = a_0$  gives no solution  $\alpha$  such that  $Tr_{q^2, 2}(1/\alpha) = 1$ . In each of the remaining  $d-1$  choices for  $a$  we obtain  $q/2$  solutions for  $\alpha$  (see Lemma 6), each of which contributes 2 solutions for  $w$ . The hyperplane intersection size is  $d + 0 + (d-1) \cdot 2 \cdot q/2 = (d-1)q + d$  in this case.

Assume  $v \notin A^2$ . This time each of the  $d$  choices for  $a$  yields  $q/2$  choices for  $\alpha \neq 0$  and therefore  $q$  solutions for  $w$ . The size of the hyperplane intersection is  $d + dq$  in this case.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $D_q$  be the  $q$ -ary cyclic code of length  $3(q^2 + 1)$  with defining set  $\{1\}$ . Then  $D_q$  is the shortened code of  $C_q$  with respect to the coordinate indexed by  $P(0)$  (the 4-dimensional subcode of  $C_q$  consisting of all codewords of  $C_q$  which vanish in that coordinate, with this coordinate removed).*

*Further  $D_q$ ,  $q > 2$  is a 2-weight code with weights  $3q^2 - 3q$  and  $3q^2 - 2q$ . In particular  $D_q$  is a  $[3(q^2 + 1), 4, 3q(q-1)]_q$ -code.*

In case  $q = 2$  the second case in the proof of Theorem 3 does not occur. It follows that  $D_2$  is a constant-weight code. Clearly  $D_2$  is the Simplex code  $[15, 4, 8]_2$ .

Factorize  $\tau$  over  $K$  :  $\tau(x) = Tr_{q^2,q}(Tr_{q^4,q^2}(x))$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3 we can assume  $u \in K$ , and  $Tr_{q^4,q^2}(uaw) = uaw + ua/w = ua\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = Tr_{q^4,q^2}(w) = w + 1/w$ .

We wish to count the number of points of  $\mathcal{K}_q$  on hyperplanes  $H = H_{u,c}$ , where  $P(a,w) \in H$  if and only if  $\tau(uaw) = c$ . Case  $c = 0$  is covered by Corollary 1. Let  $c \neq 0$ . Upon multiplying  $u$  by a suitable factor from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  we can assume  $c = 1$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $x \in K$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- $Tr_{q^2,q}(x) = 1$ ,
- $x = \frac{1}{z+1}$ , where  $z \neq 1$ ,  $z^{q+1} = 1$ .

Lemma 7 follows from a direct calculation. It implies that  $P(a,w) \in H$  if and only if  $ua\alpha = 1/(z+1)$ , where  $z^{q+1} = 1$  and  $Tr_{q^2,2}(1/\alpha) = Tr_{q^2,2}(u(z+1)a) = 1$ . For given  $1 \neq z$ ,  $z^{q+1} = 1$  the number of solutions  $a$  is either 0 or 2. It is 0 if  $u(z+1) \in \mathbb{F}_4^\perp$  with respect to the bilinear form defined by  $Tr_{q^2,2}$  on  $K$ , it is 2 otherwise. Each value of  $\alpha$  contributes precisely two solutions  $w$ . We see that each  $z$  contributes either 0 or 4 to the intersection with hyperplane  $H$ . The contribution is 4 if and only if  $u(z+1) \notin \mathbb{F}_4^\perp$  (with respect to  $Tr_{q^2,2}$ ). In particular all of these hyperplane section sizes are multiples of 4 and at most  $4q$ . Let  $|\mathcal{K}_q \cap H| = 4s$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $l \in K$ . Then  $l$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbb{F}_4$  with respect to the trace form defined by  $Tr_{q^2,2}$  if and only if  $Tr_{q^2,4}(l) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Orthogonality means  $Tr_{q^2,2}(l) = Tr_{q^2,2}(\omega l) = 0$ . Assume  $Tr_{q^2,2}(l) = 0$ . Then  $Tr_{q^2,2}(\omega l) = \omega Tr_{q^2,4}(l) + \bar{\omega} Tr_{q^2,4}(l) = Tr_{q^2,4}(l)$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 8,  $z$  contributes to the hyperplane section size if and only if  $Tr_{q^2,4}(u(z+1)) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $s$  equals the number of  $z$ ,  $z^{q+1} = 1$  such that  $Tr_{q^2,4}(u(z+1)) \neq 0$ . Equivalently  $q+1-s$  is the number of such  $z$  satisfying  $Tr_{q^2,4}(u(z+1)) = 0$ .

Let  $u(z+1) = c_1\omega + c_2$ . Here  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  are uniquely determined as  $1, \omega$  form a basis of  $K$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The last trace condition is equivalent with  $Tr_{q,2}(c_1) = Tr_{q,2}(c_2) = 0$ . We count such  $c_1, c_2$  satisfying

$$\left(\frac{c_1\omega + c_2}{u} + 1\right)^{q+1} = 1.$$

This is equivalent with

$$c_1^2 + c_1c_2 + c_2^2 + u^q(c_1\omega + c_2) + u(c_1\bar{\omega} + c_2) = 0.$$

With  $u = u_1\omega + u_2$  this becomes

$$c_1^2 + c_1c_2 + c_2^2 + c_1u_2 + c_2u_1 = 0.$$

Let  $x = c_1 + u_1, y = c_2 + u_2$ . The main condition is

$$x^2 + xy + y^2 + (u_1^2 + u_1u_2 + u_2^2) = 0,$$

the side conditions are  $Tr_{q,2}(x) = Tr_{q,2}(u_1), Tr_{q,2}(y) = Tr_{q,2}(u_2)$ . Let  $v^2 = u_1^2 + u_1u_2 + u_2^2 \neq 0$ .

#### 4.1 Kloosterman and Mélas codes

In the sequel the trace  $Tr_{q,2}$  will often be used. We abbreviate it by  $tr_0$ .

**Definition 1.** For  $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{F}_q$  let  $p_v$  be the number of  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that

$$tr_0(x) = tr_0(v/x) = 1.$$

Also let  $m_i$  be the number of  $v$  such that  $p_v = i$ .

The curve with affine equation

$$y^2 + y = x + \frac{v}{x}$$

defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is elliptic and has  $4p_v$  rational points. The Hasse inequality implies

$$\frac{q+1-2\sqrt{q}}{4} < p_v < \frac{q+1+2\sqrt{q}}{4}.$$

The Kloosterman code  $L_q$  or dual Mélas code is a binary code of length  $q-1$  and dimension  $2f$ . Codeword  $c(a, b)$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , has entry

$$tr_0(ax + b/x).$$

Clearly  $wt(c(a, b)) = wt(c(1, ab))$  for  $ab \neq 0$ , and

$$wt(c(1, v)) = q - 2p_v.$$

A detailed analysis is in [9], where the weight distribution of  $L_q$  is determined. In particular  $m_i > 0$  for every integer  $i$  contained in the interval. Knowledge of the weight distribution of  $L_q$  is equivalent with knowledge of the numbers  $m_i$  in Definition 1.

In order to avoid confusion let us sum up. Consider the hyperplane  $H = H_{u,1}$ , where  $u = u_1\omega + u_2 \in K$ ,  $v^2 = u_1^2 + u_1u_2 + u_2^2$ . The parameters  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and their absolute traces  $tr_0(u_1)$ ,  $tr_0(u_2)$  are given, as well as  $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The intersection size  $|\mathcal{K}_q \cap H| = 4s$  is determined by the number of pairs  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$  which satisfy  $tr_0(x) = tr_0(u_1)$ ,  $tr_0(y) = tr_0(u_2)$  and the quadratic equation

$$x^2 + xy + y^2 = v^2. \quad (3)$$

The number of such pairs  $x, y$  is  $q + 1 - s$ .

Let  $tr_0(u_2) = 1$ . We have to choose  $y$  (automatically  $\neq 0$ ) such that  $tr_0(y) = 1$ . Dividing equation 3 by  $y^2$  yields the equivalent equation

$$(x/y)^2 + (x/y) + 1 + (v/y)^2 = 0.$$

This has solutions if and only if  $tr_0(v/y) = 1$ . If this is satisfied there are two solutions  $x$ , which have different absolute traces. Exactly one will satisfy  $tr_0(x) = tr_0(u_1)$ . We conclude  $q + 1 - s = p_v$ , or

$$s = q + 1 - p_v.$$

By symmetry the same is true when  $tr_0(u_1) = 1$ . It remains to consider the cases when  $tr_0(u_1) = tr_0(u_2) = 0$ . Let  $r$  be the number of pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $tr_0(x) = 0$  and equation 3 is satisfied. The only solution for  $x = 0$  has  $y = v$ . In all other cases we must have  $tr_0(v/x) = 1, tr_0(x) = 0$ , and in each such case we count two choices for  $y$ . This yields  $r = 1 + 2(\frac{q}{2} - p_v) = q + 1 - 2p_v$ . The number of pairs  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $tr_0(x) = 0$ ,  $tr_0(y) = 1$  and equation 3 is  $p_v$ . We obtain

$$s = 3p_v.$$

In case  $s = q + 1 - p_v$  we have

$$4s \leq 4(q + 1) - (q + 1) + 2\sqrt{q}.$$

This is smaller than in the second case, where  $s = 3p_v$  and therefore

$$s \leq 3(q + 1 + t).$$

Here  $t$  is as in Theorem 2.

## 4.2 The weight distribution of $C_q$

Consider the hyperplane  $H = H_{u,1}$ , where  $u = u_1\omega + u_2 \in K$ ,  $v^2 = u_1^2 + u_1u_2 + u_2^2$ . Let  $p_v = i$ . We have seen that  $|\mathcal{K}_q \cap H| = 4s$  and  $4s = 4(q + 1 - i)$  if  $(\text{tr}_0(u_1), \text{tr}_0(u_2)) \neq (0, 0)$ , whereas  $4s = 12i$  if  $(\text{tr}_0(u_1), \text{tr}_0(u_2)) = (0, 0)$ . For fixed  $v$  the number of  $(u_1, u_2)$  such that  $v^2 = u_1^2 + u_1u_2 + u_2^2$  and  $(\text{tr}_0(u_1), \text{tr}_0(u_2)) \neq (0, 0)$  is  $3p_v = 3i$ , and consequently the number of  $(u_1, u_2)$  such that  $v^2 = u_1^2 + u_1u_2 + u_2^2$  and  $(\text{tr}_0(u_1), \text{tr}_0(u_2)) = (0, 0)$  is  $q + 1 - 3i$ .

It follows that each of the  $m_i$  elements  $v \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $p_v = i$  contributes  $3im_i$  hyperplanes  $H_{u,1}$  with intersection size  $4(q + 1 - i)$  and  $(q + 1 - 3i)m_i$  such hyperplanes with intersection size  $12i$ . Recall that by [9] we have  $m_i > 0$  for all  $i$  in the Hasse interval. In particular  $\iota_q \leq 3(q + 1 + t)$  with equality if and only if  $t < (q + 1)/3$ . This is satisfied for  $q > 32$ .

In case  $q = 32$  we have  $t = 11$ . Clearly  $\iota_{32} = 3(q + 1 + 7) = 120$ . For  $q = 8$  we have  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\iota_8 = 4(9 - 1) = 32$ .

Multiplication by  $(q - 1)(q^2 + 1)$  yields the weight distribution of  $C'_q$ , the subset of codewords of  $C_q$ , which do not belong to  $D_q$  or to  $\langle 1 \rangle$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $n = 3q^2 + 4$  and  $A_w(C'_q)$  the number of codewords of  $C'_q$  of weight  $w$ . Then*

$$A_w(C'_q) = (q - 1)(q^2 + 1)(q + 1 - (n - w)/4) \{3m_{q+1-(n-w)/4} + m_{(n-w)/12}\}$$

with the proviso that  $m_i = 0$  if  $i$  is not a positive integer.

As the  $m_i$  have been determined in [9] we know the weight distribution of  $C'_q$ . As all elements of  $C_q \setminus C'_q$  belong either to  $\langle 1 \rangle$  or to the 2-weight code  $D_q$  (see Corollary 1) this determines the weight distribution of  $C_q$ .

## 5 An example: $C_8$

As  $\iota_8 = 32$ , code  $C_8$  is a  $[196, 5, 164]_8$ -code. Represent  $\mathbb{F}_8$  as  $\mathbb{F}_2(\epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon^3 + \epsilon^2 + 1 = 0$ . The numbers  $p_v$  from Definition 1 are

$$p_1 = 1, p_\epsilon = p_{\epsilon^2} = p_{\epsilon^4} = 3, p_{\epsilon^3} = p_{\epsilon^5} = p_{\epsilon^6} = 2,$$

hence

$$m_1 = 1, m_2 = 3, m_3 = 3.$$

By Subsection 4.2 this yields 3 hyperplanes  $H_{u,1}$ ,  $u \in K$  of intersection size 32, 18 such hyperplanes of intersection size 28, 27 of intersection size 24, 6 of

intersection size 12, 9 more of intersection size 24 and finally 0 hyperplanes of intersection size 36. The weight distribution of  $C'_8$  is therefore

$$A_{184}(C'_8) = 2730, A_{172}(C'_8) = 16380, A_{168}(C'_8) = 8190, A_{164}(C'_8) = 1365.$$

Together with the repetition code  $\langle 1 \rangle$  and the weights of the 2-weight subcode  $D_8$  this leads to the following weight distribution for  $C_8$  :

$$A_0 = 1, A_{164} = 1365, A_{168} = 10920, A_{172} = 16380,$$

$$A_{176} = 1365, A_{184} = 2730, A_{196} = 7.$$

In particular  $C_8 \supset D_8$  form a chain of codes with parameters  $[196, 5, 164]_8 \supset [196, 4, 168]_8$ . Application of construction X from coding theory (see [8]) with  $[4, 1, 4]_8$  as auxiliary code yields a code  $[200, 5, 168]_8$ .

## 6 The quadratic structure

In this section we describe how the points  $\neq P_0$  of the cap  $\mathcal{K}_q$  are distributed on three parabolic quadrics.

Lemma 2 shows that we can find  $w_0 \in W$  such that  $Tr_{q^4, q^2}(w_0) = \omega$ . As  $1, w_0$  form a basis of  $F$  over  $K$ , each  $y \in F$  can be expressed as  $y = c_1 + c_2 w_0$ , where  $c_1, c_2 \in K$  are uniquely determined.

We have  $N(y) = (c_1 + c_2 w_0)(c_1 + c_2/w_0) = c_1^2 + c_2^2 + \omega c_1 c_2$ . In particular  $y \in W$  if and only if  $c_1^2 + c_2^2 + \omega c_1 c_2 + 1 = 0$ .

Use  $1, \omega$  as basis of  $K$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , write  $c_1 = x_1 + \omega x_2$ ,  $c_2 = x_3 + \omega x_4$ . Represent  $(x_0, y)$  by the tuple  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{F}_q^5$ . Using these bases we can use any of the following representations for an element  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^5$  :

$$x = (x_0, y) = (c_0, c_1, c_2) = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), \text{ where}$$

$$y \in F, c_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q, c_1, c_2 \in K, x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

We have

$$N(y) = x_1^2 + \bar{\omega} x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \bar{\omega} x_4^2 + x_2 x_4 + \omega x_1 x_3 + \bar{\omega}(x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_3),$$

equivalently  $N(y) = u_1 + \omega u_2$ , where

$$u_1 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_2 x_4 + x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_3,$$

$$u_2 = x_2^2 + x_4^2 + x_1 x_3 + x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_3.$$

**Definition 2.** Consider the following quadratic forms in 5 variables:

$$Q_2(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \sum_{i=0}^4 x_i^2 + x_2x_4 + x_1x_4 + x_2x_3,$$

$$Q_3(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_3^2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_4.$$

It follows

$$N(y) = x_0^2 + Q_2(x) + \omega(Q_2(x) + Q_3(x)), \text{ where } x = (x_0, y).$$

Both  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$  are non-degenerate, hence parabolic. They share the radical  $P_0$  of the associated symplectic bilinear form.

**Definition 3.** Consider the symmetry  $\rho$  defined by  $\rho(c_0, c_1, c_2) = (c_0, \omega c_1, \omega c_2)$ , equivalently  $\rho(x) = (x_0, x_2, x_1 + x_2, x_4, x_3 + x_4)$ . Clearly  $\rho$  has order 3. It implies an action on quadratic forms by

$$(\rho Q)(x) = Q(\rho(x)).$$

Our quadratic forms are related by  $Q_3 = \rho(Q_2)$ . Let  $Q_1$  be the third quadratic form in this  $\rho$ -orbit, so  $Q_1 = \rho(Q_3)$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $K_1$  consist of the points  $(1 : y)$ , where  $N(y) = 1$ . Likewise  $K_2$  is defined by  $N(y) = \omega$  and  $K_3$  by  $N(y) = \bar{\omega}$ .

The points  $\neq P_0$  of  $\mathcal{K}_q$  form the union  $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$ . Observe that  $K_1$  consists of the points  $(1, w)$ ,  $K_2$  of the points  $(1, \bar{\omega}w)$  and  $K_3$  of the points  $(1, \omega w)$  (where  $N(w) = 1$ ). The formula in Definition 2 shows that  $N(y) = 1$  if and only if  $x = (1, y)$  satisfies  $Q_2(x) = Q_3(x) = 0$ . The same formula shows that a vector  $x = (0, y)$  where  $y \neq 0$  cannot satisfy  $Q_2(x) = Q_3(x) = 0$  as otherwise we would have  $N(y) = 0$ . This shows  $Q_2 \cap Q_3 = K_1$ . The symmetry  $\rho$  shows that  $Q_i \cap Q_j = K_k$  for  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Theorem 5.** The points  $\neq P_0$  of our cap form the union  $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$ . Each such point is on two of the quadrics  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$ , more exactly

$$Q_i \cap Q_j = K_k \text{ whenever } \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

## References

- [1] J. Bierbrauer: *The theory of cyclic codes and a generalization to additive codes*, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **25** (2002), 189-206.
- [2] J. Bierbrauer: *Large caps*, *Combinatorics 2002, Topics in Combinatorics: geometry, graph theory and designs*, Maratea (Potenza), Italy, 2-8 June, G.Korchmaros, editor, pp.7-38.
- [3] J. Bierbrauer and Y. Edel: *A family of caps in projective 4-space in odd characteristic*, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* **6** (2000),283-293.
- [4] J. Bierbrauer, A. Cossidente and Y. Edel: *Caps on classical varieties and their projections*, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **22** (2001), 135-143.
- [5] A. Carlet, P. Charpin and V. Zinoviev: *Codes, bent functions, and permutations suitable for DES-like cryptosystems*, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **15** (1998), 125-156.
- [6] T. Helleseth and V. Kumar: *Sequences with low correlation*, in *Handbook of Coding Theory*, edited by V.Pless and C.Huffman, Elsevier Science Publishers, 1998.
- [7] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and L. Storme: *The packing problem in statistics, coding theory and finite projective spaces: update 2001*, *Developments in Mathematics Vol. 3*, Kluwer Academic Publishers. *Finite Geometries, Proceedings of the Fourth Isle of Thorns Conference (Chelwood Gate, July 16-21, 2000)* (Eds. A. Blokhuis, J.W.P. Hirschfeld, D. Jungnickel and J.A. Thas), pp. 201-246.
- [8] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane.  
*The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, 1977.
- [9] R. Schoof and M. van der Vlugt: *Hecke operators and the weight distribution of certain codes*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory A* **57** (1991), 163-186.
- [10] B. Segre: *Le geometrie di Galois*, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* **48** (1959),1-97.